UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,047	02/08/2005	Jon H. Rasmussen	C2432.0057	9121	
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 05/30/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER			
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			KOSAR, ANDREW D		
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/500,047	RASMUSSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

		Andrew D. Kosar	1654							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY	THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. ⊠ The re applica applica	pply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ation, applicant must timely file one of the following a ation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe ntinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request						
a) 🔯 Th b) 🔲 Th no	ne period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.						
Me Extensions of have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b may reduce a	caminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) if time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the date for purposes of determining the period of ext R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solution) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as						
NOTICE OF										
date o	lotice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 March 2008</u> . A brief of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be NTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.						
	oroposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause						
	They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause						
(b)	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);								
—	They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for						
_	They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
(- / _	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,								
4.	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,						
6. Newl	y proposed or amended claim(s) would be all llowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
7. For punch for	urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ne new or amended claims would be rejected is provietus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: (s) allowed: (s) objected to: (s) rejected: 14-24 and 26-30. (s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e:	xplanation of						
<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>	OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
becau	ffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but se applicant failed to provide a showing of good and ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing and because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owning a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
11. 🛛 The	request for reconsideration has been considered but Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12.	the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (r:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
		/Andrew D Kosar/								
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1654							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are generally those previously presented and addressed in the Final Office Action mailed 9/20/2007, and will not be readdressed here. Applicant relies upon the alleged unexpected results of 'superior yield' by the process, however, as stated in the Final Action, the comparison of the processes is not a 'side by side' comparison, as the two products compared are structurally distinct, and the examiner cannot conclude that the process now claimed is superior. Applicant has provided no evidence, e.g. declaration/affidavit with a true side by side comparison of the prior art and the instant method to support the assertion.